

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/913,444 | • | 08/15/2001 | Koichi Ito | 0425-0847P | 9635 | |
| 2292 | 7590 | 04/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | RT KOLASCH & BI | KIFLE, BRUCK | | | |
| | PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | | 1624 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/913,444 | ITO ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. | 1624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe | ebruary 2004. | | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| '= | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1, 13, 24-26 and 34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,13 and 34 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Infon | te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/913,444

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 2/10/04 have been received and reviewed. Claims 1, 13, 24-26 and 34 are now pending in this application.

Claims 1, 13 and 34 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 24-26 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for treating and ameliorating nerve degeneration diseases generally. The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office action and is incorporated herein fully by reference. Treating and ameliorating nerve degeneration diseases generally is prima facie not enabled because diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, ALS, Parkinson's, etc. are all still embraced by claims 24 and 25.

There is no such agent, which can treat nerve degeneration generally because these are extremely varied in origin and nature of effect. The origin and the nature of many nerve degeneration diseases such as Huntington's disease, Pick's disease, Frontotemporal dementia, Cerebro-Oculo-Facio-Skeletal (COFS) syndrome (cranofacial and skeletal abnormalities), Motor neuron disease (muscle weakness), Corticobasal ganglionic degeneration, Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease (fatal disease), Dementia with Lewy bodies, and Progressive supranuclear palsy Dementia are different one from the other. Many nerve degeneration disorders are untreatable to this day. The symptoms and nature of these diseases are also different one from the other. It can be shown that many of these nerve degenerative disorders have different origin and nature of effect. Some are hereditary (Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease) and many vary in how they affect the body and its functions. Diseases such as Cerebral palsy, and Parkinson's disease affect the movement of the patient. Diseases such as Alzheimer's disease affect the memory of the patient.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,444

Art Unit: 1624

Regarding claim 26, diseases such as multiple sclerosis are embraced. To date, interferon is the only established therapy for multiple sclerosis. Glatiramer acetate is a second line treatment used in the US but not Europe. Others include Glatiramer acetate (GA), the steroid methylprednisolone (IVMP), the immunesuppresives azathioprine (AZA), methotrexate (MTX), and cyclophosphamide (CTX), and immunglobin (IVIg). Thus, the skilled clinician would not know how to use these compounds having non-NMDA excitatory amino acid receptor antagonistic activity to treat MS. Case law is clear on this point. In an unpredictable art, such as MS therapy, models may be used for enablement only if there is a well-established correlation between the assay and clinical efficacy.

Applicant's declaration is noted. However the test Applicants are relying on are not accepted tests for any and all nerve degeneration disease.

These claims are enabled for epilepsy and pain.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/913,444

Art Unit: 1624

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0668.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Bruck Kifle, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

BK

April 9, 2004